arXiv:1511.07240v2 [math.DS] 15 Apr 2016

Quasicircles of dimension $1 + k^2$ do not exist

Oleg Ivrii

April 15, 2016

Abstract

A well-known theorem of S. Smirnov states that the Hausdorff dimension of a k-quasicircle is at most $1+k^2$. Here, we show that the precise upper bound $D(k) = 1 + \Sigma^2 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^{8/3-\varepsilon})$ where Σ^2 is the maximal asymptotic variance of the Beurling transform, taken over the unit ball of L^∞. The quantity Σ^2 was introduced in a joint work with K. Astala, A. Perälä and I. Prause where it was proved that $0.879 < \Sigma^2 \leq 1$, while recently, H. Hedenmalm discovered that surprisingly $\Sigma^2 < 1$. We deduce the asymptotic expansion of $D(k)$ from a more general statement relating the universal bounds for the integral means spectrum and the asymptotic variance of conformal maps. Our proof combines fractal approximation techniques with the classical argument of J. Becker and Ch. Pommerenke for estimating integral means.

1 Introduction

Let $D(k)$ denote the maximal Hausdorff dimension of a k-quasicircle, the image of the unit circle under a k-quasiconformal mapping of the plane. The first non-trivial bound (with the right growth rate) was given in 1987 by Becker and Pommerenke [7] who proved that $1+0.36\,k^2 \leq D(k) \leq 1+37\,k^2$ if k is small. In 1994, in his landmark work [4] on the area distortion of quasiconformal mappings, K. Astala suggested that the correct bound was

$$D(k) \leq 1 + k^2, \qquad 0 \leq k < 1. \tag{1.1}$$

Using a clever variation of Astala's argument, S. Smirnov [31] showed that the bound (1.1) indeed holds. A systematic investigation of the sharpness of (1.1) was initiated in [5] where the quantity

$$\Sigma^2 := \sup_{|\mu|\leq\chi_{\mathbb{D}}} \sigma^2(\mathcal{S}\mu) \tag{1.2}$$

was introduced. Here,

$$\mathcal{S}\mu(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{(\zeta-z)^2}\,|d\zeta|^2 \tag{1.3}$$

denotes the *Beurling transform* of μ and

$$\sigma^2(g) = \lim_{R\to 1^+} \frac{1}{2\pi|\log(R-1)|}\int_{|z|=R} |g(z)|^2\,|dz| \tag{1.4}$$

is the *asymptotic variance* of a Bloch function $g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}^*)$ on the exterior unit disk. The motivation for studying Σ^2 comes from the work of McMullen [27] who showed that in dynamical cases (i.e. when μ is invariant under a co-compact Fuchsian group or eventually-invariant under a Blaschke product), one has an explicit connection between Hausdorff dimension and asymptotic variance:

$$2\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\bigg|_{t=0} \mathrm{H.\,dim}\, w^{t\mu}(\mathbb{S}^1) = \sigma^2(\mathcal{S}\mu). \tag{1.5}$$

In [5], it was established that $\liminf_{k\to 0}(D(k)-1)/k^2 \geq \Sigma^2$ and $0.879 \leq \Sigma^2 \leq 1$, while recently, H. Hedenmalm surprisingly proved that $\Sigma^2 < 1$, see [13]. Here, we complete this "trilogy" by showing:

Theorem 1.1.

$$D(k) = 1 + \Sigma^2 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^{8/3-\varepsilon}), \qquad \textit{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

In particular, Smirnov's bound is not sharp for small k. István Prause informed me (private communication) that one can use the methods of [29, 31] to show that this implies that $D(k) < 1 + k^2$ for all $0 < k < 1$.

1.1 Integral means spectra

The aim of *geometric function theory* is to understand the geometric complexity of the boundary of a simply-connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ in terms of the analytic complexity of

the Riemann map $f : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$. For domains with rough boundaries, the relationship between f and $\partial\Omega$ may be quantified using several geometric characteristics. One notable characteristic is the *integral means spectrum*

$$\beta_f(p) = \limsup_{r\to 1} \frac{\log \int_{|z|=r} |f'(z)^p|\, d\theta}{\log \frac{1}{1-r}}, \qquad p \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The importance of the spectrum $\beta_f(p)$ lies in the fact that it is Legendre-dual to the multifractal spectrum of harmonic measure [25, 9]. Taking the supremum of $\beta_f(p)$ over bounded simply-connected domains, one obtains the *universal integral means spectrum*

$$B(p) = \sup \beta_f(p).$$

Apart from various estimates [17, 20], not much is rigorously known about the qualitative features of $B(p)$. For instance, it is expected that $B(p) = B(-p)$ is an even function. However, while $B(2) = 1$ is an easy consequence of the area theorem, the statement "$B(-2) = 1$" is equivalent to the Brennan conjecture, which is a well-known and difficult open problem. Nor is it known whether $B(p) \in C^1$, let alone real-analytic. In this work, we are concerned with the quadratic behaviour of $B(p)$ near the origin.

It will be convenient for us to work with conformal maps defined on the exterior unit disk $\mathbb{D}^* = \{z : |z| > 1\}$. Unless stated otherwise, we assume that conformal maps are in *principal normalization*, satisfying $\varphi(z) = z + \mathcal{O}(1/|z|)$ near infinity.

Let $\mathbf{\Sigma}_k$ be the collection of conformal maps that admit k-quasiconformal extensions to the complex plane with dilatation at most k. Maximizing over $\mathbf{\Sigma}_k$, we obtain the spectra $B_k(p) := \sup_{\varphi\in\mathbf{\Sigma}_k} \beta_\varphi(p)$. We show:

Theorem 1.2.

$$\lim_{p\to 0} \frac{B_k(p)}{|p|^2/4} \;=\; \Sigma^2(k) \;:=\; \sup_{\varphi\in\mathbf{\Sigma}_k} \sigma^2(\log \varphi'). \tag{1.6}$$

Theorem 1.3. *If $k \to 0$ and $k|p| \to 0$, then*

$$\lim \frac{B_k(p)}{k^2|p|^2/4} \;=\; \Sigma^2 \;:=\; \sup_{|\mu|\le\chi_{\mathbb{D}}} \sigma^2(\mathcal{S}\mu).$$

Theorem 1.4. (i) $\Sigma^2(k)/k^2$ *is a non-decreasing convex function on* $[0,1)$.

(ii) *Furthermore,* $\Sigma^2(k) = \Sigma^2 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^3)$ *as* $k \to 0$.

Together with Hedenmalm's estimate, Theorem 1.3 contradicts the very general conjecture

$$\text{“}B_k(p) = k^2p^2/4 \text{ for all } k \in [0,1) \text{ and } p \in [-2/k, 2/k]\text{”}$$

from [20, 29]. However, since we do not know whether or not $\lim_{k\to 1^-} \Sigma^2(k) \stackrel{?}{=} 1$, we cannot rule out Kraetzer's conjecture which asserts only that

$$\text{“}B(p) = p^2/4 \text{ for } p \in [-2,2].\text{”}$$

It is currently known that $0.93 < \lim_{k\to 1^-} \Sigma^2(k) < (1.24)^2$. We refer the reader to [5, Section 8] for the lower bound and to [16, 15] for the upper bound.

From the point of view of universal Teichmüller space, $\mathcal{S}\mu$ is the infinitesimal analogue of $\log \varphi'$. Indeed, if $w^{t\mu} \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_k$ is the principal solution to the Beltrami equation $\overline{\partial} w = t\mu\, \partial w$, then $\log(w^{t\mu})' \approx t\mathcal{S}\mu$. Further remarks will be given in Section 2. McMullen's identity (1.5) admits a global form, described in [5]:

Theorem 1.5. *If* $\partial\Omega$ *is a Jordan curve, invariant under a hyperbolic dynamical system, e.g. a Julia set or a limit set of a quasi-Fuchsian group, then* β_φ *is real-analytic and*

$$(1/2) \cdot \beta_\varphi''(0) = \sigma^2(\log \varphi'). \tag{1.7}$$

Remark. For general domains, (1.7) need not hold: for instance, one can take a fractal domain which satisfies McMullen's identity and replace an arc $\gamma \subset \partial\Omega$ by a smooth curve. Then $\beta_\varphi''(0)$ does not change, but the asymptotic variance goes down by a definite factor, depending on the harmonic measure of γ. For more counterexamples, see the works [6, 23].

1.2 From integral means to dimensions of quasicircles

The implication (Theorem 1.3 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1.1) follows from the relation

$$\beta_\varphi(p) = p - 1 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p = \mathrm{M.dim}\, \varphi(\mathbb{S}^1), \qquad \varphi \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_k, \tag{1.8}$$

see [28, Corollary 10.18]. Here, two facts are tacitly being used: first, the work of Astala [3] shows that $D(k)$ may be characterized with Minkowski dimension in place

of Hausdorff dimension. One may view Astala's result as a *fractal approximation* theorem: when evaluating $D(k)$, it suffices to take the supremum over certain Ahlfors regular k-quasicircles for which the Hausdorff and Minkowski dimensions coincide. This theme will recur throughout this work.

Secondly, one can take a quasiconformal map that is conformal to one side and anti-symmetrize it in the spirit of [22, 31] to reduce its dilatation. More precisely, a Jordan curve γ is a k'-quasicircle if and only if it can be represented as $\gamma = m(\varphi(\mathbb{S}^1))$ where m is a Möbius transformation and $\varphi \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_k$ with

$$k = \frac{2k'}{1 + (k')^2}. \tag{1.9}$$

This accounts for the discrepancy in the factor of 4 in Theorems 1.3 and 1.1.

1.3 A sketch of proofs

The proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 follow the argument of Becker and Pommerenke [7], except we use an L^2 bound for the non-linearity $n_\varphi = \varphi''/\varphi'$ instead of the L^∞ bound

$$\left| \frac{2n_\varphi}{\rho_*}(z) \right| \le 6k, \qquad \varphi \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_k\,. \tag{1.10}$$

Here, $\rho_*(z) = 2/(|z|^2 - 1)$ is the density of the hyperbolic metric on the exterior unit disk. By a *box* in $\mathbb{D}^*$, we mean an annular rectangle of the form

$$B = \{z : r_1 < |z| < r_2,\ \theta_1 < \arg z < \theta_2\},$$

while the notation $⨍_B \dots \rho_*(z)|dz|^2$ suggests that we consider the average with respect to the measure $\rho_*(z)|dz|^2$.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose $\varphi \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_k$ is a conformal map which satisfies*

$$m \le ⨍_B \left| \frac{2n_\varphi}{\rho_*}(z) \right|^2 \rho_*(z)|dz|^2 \le M \tag{1.11}$$

for all sufficiently large boxes B in the exterior unit disk. Then,

$$m \le \liminf_{|p|\to 0} \frac{\beta_\varphi(p)}{|p|^2/4} \le \limsup_{|p|\to 0} \frac{\beta_\varphi(p)}{|p|^2/4} \le M.$$

To summarize, *upper bounds* on box averages yield *upper bounds* on integral means, while *lower bounds* on box averages yield *lower bounds* on integral means.

The sharp upper bound for the average non-linearity in (1.11) will be computed using the quasiconformal fractal approximation technique of [5]. We require slightly more general considerations than those given in [5], so we present these ideas in full detail. These arguments take up Sections 4–6. The term "fractal approximation" comes from the original application of these ideas which was:

Theorem 1.7. *Let M_{I} be the class of Beltrami coefficients that are* eventually-invariant *under $z \to z^d$ for some $d \geq 2$, i.e. satisfying $(z^d)^*\mu = \mu$ in some open neighbourhood of the unit circle. Then,*

$$\Sigma^2 = \sup_{\mu \in M_{\mathrm{I}},\ |\mu| \leq \chi_{\mathbb{D}}} \sigma^2(\mathcal{S}\mu), \tag{1.12}$$

$$\Sigma^2(k) = \sup_{\mu \in M_{\mathrm{I}},\ |\mu| \leq k \cdot \chi_{\mathbb{D}}} \sigma^2\big(\log(w^\mu)'\big). \tag{1.13}$$

The *Box Lemmas* (Lemmas 5.1 and 6.1) may be regarded as the quantitative forms of the statements (1.12) and (1.13).

1.4 Applications to dynamical systems

In dynamical cases, using the ergodicity of the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle T_1X (Fuchsian case) or Riemann surface lamination $\hat{X}_B$ (Blaschke case), it is not difficult to show that

$$\sigma^2(\log \varphi') - \varepsilon \ < \ \fint_B \left| \frac{2n_\varphi}{\rho_*}(z) \right|^2 \rho_* |dz|^2 \ < \ \sigma^2(\log \varphi') + \varepsilon \tag{1.14}$$

holds for all sufficiently large boxes B. In view of Theorem 1.6, this gives an elementary proof of McMullen's identity (Theorem 1.5) which does not use thermodynamic formalism.

Remark. To be honest, this argument does not show the true differentiability of the functions $p \to \beta_\varphi(p)$ and $t \to \mathrm{H.\,dim}\, w^{t\mu}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, only that $\beta_\varphi(p) = \sigma^2(\log \varphi')p^2/4 + o(p^2)$ and $\mathrm{H.\,dim}\, w^{t\mu}(\mathbb{S}^1) = \sigma^2(\mathcal{S}\mu)t^2/4 + o(t^2)$.

1.5 Beltrami coefficients with sparse support

When studying thin regions of Teichmüller space, it is natural to consider Beltrami coefficients that are sparsely supported. For applications, see [10, 18, 26]. Suppose $\mu \in M(\mathbb{D})$ is a Beltrami coefficient supported on a "garden" $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup A_j$ where:

(1) Each A_j satisfies the *quasigeodesic property* – i.e. is located within hyperbolic distance S of a geodesic segment γ_j.

(2) *Separation property.* The hyperbolic distance $d_{\mathbb{D}}(\gamma_i, \gamma_j) > R$ is large.

Theorem 1.8. *If μ is a Beltrami coefficient with sparse support, then*

$$\mathrm{M.\,dim}\, f^{t\mu}(\mathbb{S}^1) \leq 1 + C(S)e^{-R/2}|t|^2,$$

for $|t| < t_0(S, R)$ small.

1.6 Related results and open problems

After the first version of the paper was written, the author realized that the average non-linearity is related to the local variance of a dyadic martingale associated to a Bloch function, introduced by Makarov. These martingale arguments [19] give a quicker route to the main results of this paper as well as give additional characterizations of $\Sigma^2(k)$ in terms of the constant in Makarov's law of iterated logarithm and the transition parameter for the singularity of harmonic measure. Nevertheless, the Becker-Pommerenke argument is in some ways stronger: martingale techniques give a weaker error term in the expansion of $D(k)$ and are not applicable (at least in a direct way) to study quasiconformal mappings whose dilation has small support (Theorem 1.8).

In a recent work [14], Hedenmalm studied the notion of "asymptotic tail variance" of Bloch functions to show the estimate

$$B_k(p) \leq (1 + 7k)^2 \cdot \frac{k^2|p|^2}{4}, \qquad |p| \leq \frac{2}{k(1 + 7k)^2}. \tag{1.15}$$

However, the arguments of this paper are only effective when the product $k|p|$ is small. It would be natural to interpolate between Theorem 1.2 and (1.15) in the range $0 \leq k|p| \leq 2$.

To conclude the introduction, we mention several open problems:

1. Is it true that $\lim_{p\to 0} 4\,B(p)/p^2 = \lim_{k\to 1^-} \Sigma^2(k)$?
2. Are the functions $B_k(p)$ and $D(k)$ differentiable on an interval $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$?
3. Is it true that $D(k) = \Sigma^2 k^2 + a_3 k^3 + o(k^3)$ for some $a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$?
4. For a Bloch function $g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}^*)$, let

$$\sigma^2(g, R) = \frac{1}{2\pi|\log(R-1)|} \int_{|z|=R} |g(z)|^2\,|dz|$$

and $\Sigma_R^2 := \sup_{|\mu|\le\chi_{\mathbb{D}}} \sigma^2(g, R)$. In [5], it was proved that

$$|\Sigma_R^2 - \Sigma^2| \le C \cdot \log\frac{1}{R-1}, \qquad 1 < R < 2.$$

Does one have "exponential mixing" $|\Sigma_R^2 - \Sigma^2| \le C \cdot \frac{1}{(R-1)^\gamma}$ for some $\gamma > 0$?

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank K. Astala, H. Hedenmalm, I. Kayumov, A. Perälä and I. Prause for stimulating conversations. The research was supported by the Academy of Finland, project no. 271983.

2 Background

In this section, we recall the definition of the universal Teichmüller space. We also discuss holomorphic families of conformal maps and prove Theorem 1.4 which says that $\Sigma^2(k)/k^2$ is a convex function of $k \in [0, 1)$.

2.1 Universal Teichmüller Space

The analysis of the universal integral means spectrum $B(p)$ can be thought of as an extremal problem in the universal Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*) = \bigcup_{0\le k<1} \mathbf{\Sigma}_k$. The

classical Bers embedding (with the Schwarzian derivative)

$$\beta_2 : \quad \varphi \to s_\varphi := \left(\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'}\right)^2 \tag{2.1}$$

expresses $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)$ as a bounded domain in a complex Banach space, giving $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)$ the structure of an infinite-dimensional complex manifold. More precisely, let $A_2^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)$ be the space of bounded holomorphic quadratic differentials $q(z)dz^2$ equipped with the norm

$$\|q\|_{A_2^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)} := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^*}(|z|^2-1)^2|q(z)|.$$

Then, as is well-known, the image $\beta_2(\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)) \subset A_2^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)$ is contained in a ball of radius 6. In view of Royden's theorem which equates the Kobayashi and Teichmüller metrics on $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)$, the sets $\mathbf{\Sigma}_k \subset \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)$ are metric balls, i.e.

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_k = \{\varphi : d_T(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi) \le d_{\mathbb{D}}(0,k)\}.$$

This geometric characterization of $\mathbf{\Sigma}_k$ justifies the study of the spectra $B_k(p)$. From the point of view of this paper, the Bers embedding (with non-linearity)

$$\beta_1 : \quad \varphi \to n_\varphi := \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} \tag{2.2}$$

into the space $A_1^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)$ of bounded holomorphic 1-forms is more natural. Here, the norm of $\phi(z)dz$ is given by

$$\|\phi\|_{A_1^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)} := \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}^*}(|z|^2-1)|\phi(z)|.$$

The image of β_1 is an open set if we restrict to the subspace of 1-forms that vanish at infinity, i.e. which have the asymptotics $\phi(z) = \mathcal{O}(1/|z|^3)$ as $z \to \infty$.

Remark. (i) The two embeddings present $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)$ with the same complex structure; however, the metric closures in the ambient Banach spaces are different. The interested reader may consult the work of Astala-Gehring [1] for more information.

(ii) If $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is the collection of all conformal maps in principal normalization, then

$$\operatorname{int}\beta_2(\mathbf{\Sigma}) = \beta_2(\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)) \qquad \text{yet} \qquad \beta_2(\mathbf{\Sigma}) \supsetneq \operatorname{clos}\beta_2(\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)).$$

From the fractal approximation principle of Carleson and Jones [12], it is sufficient to take the supremum in the definition of $B(p)$ over $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)$, allowing one to ignore the complement $\mathbf{\Sigma}\setminus\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{D}^*)$. For an alternative approach to fractal approximation, see the work of Beliaev and Smirnov [8].

2.2 Holomorphic families

By a *holomorphic family* of conformal maps, we mean

$$\varphi_t\colon \mathbb{D}^* \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \varphi_0(z) = z, \qquad \varphi_t(z) = z + \mathcal{O}(1/|z|), \qquad t \in \mathbb{D}.$$

According to λ-lemma, each map φ_t admits a $|t|$-quasiconformal extension to the complex plane. Conversely, if $\varphi \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_k$ has a k-quasiconformal extension H, then it may be naturally included into a holomorphic family $\{\varphi_t,\, t \in \mathbb{D}\}$ with $\varphi = \varphi_k$. This is done by letting H_t be the principal homeomorphic solution to the Beltrami equation $\overline{\partial} H_t = t\,(\mu/k)\,\partial H_t$, and then restricting H_t to the exterior unit disk.

Lemma 2.1. *Given a standard holomorphic family $\{\varphi_t = w^{t\mu},\, t \in \mathbb{D}\}$ with $|\mu| \le \chi_{\mathbb{D}}$, the map $t \to b_{\varphi_t} = \log \varphi_t'$ is a Banach-valued holomorphic function from $\mathbb{D}$ to the Bloch space of the exterior unit disk. In particular, the mappings $t \to n_{\varphi_t} \in A_1^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)$ and $t \to s_{\varphi_t} \in A_2^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)$ are holomorphic.*

Proof. The holomorphy of n_{φ_t} and s_{φ_t} follows from the boundedness of $b \to b'$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}^*) \to A_1^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)$ and $\phi \to \phi' - \frac{1}{2}\cdot\phi^2$, $A_1^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*) \to A_2^\infty(\mathbb{D}^*)$. To see that b_{φ_t} is holomorphic, it suffices to check that it is weak-$*$ holomorphic.

For simplicity of exposition, let us instead show that any norm-bounded, pointwise holomorphic function from the unit disk into $\mathcal{B}$ is weak-$*$ holomorphic. As is well-known [13, 32], the predual of $\mathcal{B}$ is the Bergman space A^1, with the dual pairing

$$\langle b, g\rangle = \lim_{r\to 1} \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} b(z)\overline{g(rz)}\,|dz|^2, \qquad b \in \mathcal{B},\ g \in A^1.$$

Since the dilates $g_r(z) = g(rz)$ converge to $g(z)$ in A^1, the above limit converges uniformly in r as b ranges over bounded subsets of the Bloch space. Hence,

$$t \to \langle b_t, g\rangle = \lim_{r\to 1} \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{D}} b_t(z)\overline{g(rz)}\,|dz|^2$$

is a holomorphic function, being the uniform limit of holomorphic functions. □

From the Neumann series expansion for principal solutions to the Beltrami equation [2, p. 165],

$$\varphi_t' = \partial\varphi_t = 1 + t\mathcal{S}\mu + t^2\mathcal{S}\mu\mathcal{S}\mu + \dots, \qquad |z| > 1, \tag{2.3}$$

it follows that the derivative of the Bers embedding at the origin is just

$$(d/dt)\big|_{t=0} \log\varphi_t' = \mathcal{S}\mu. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{S}\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}^*)$ and

$$\left\| \frac{\log\varphi_t'}{t} - \mathcal{S}\mu \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}^*)} = \mathcal{O}(|t|), \quad \text{for } |t| < 1/2. \tag{2.5}$$

Since the asymptotic variance is continuous in the Bloch norm [14], the function

$$u(t) = \sigma^2\left(\frac{\log\varphi_t'}{t}\right) \tag{2.6}$$

extends continuously to $\sigma^2(\mathcal{S}\mu)$ at $t = 0$. Similarly to (2.4), $(\mathcal{S}\mu)' = (d/dt)\big|_{t=0} n_{\varphi_t}$ and $(\mathcal{S}\mu)'' = (d/dt)\big|_{t=0} s_{\varphi_t}$ are the infinitesimal forms of the non-linearity and the Schwarzian derivative respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Taking the supremum of (2.5) over all $|\mu| \le \chi_{\mathbb{D}}$ shows that $\lim_{k\to 0} \Sigma^2(k)/k^2 = \Sigma^2$, which is the statement (ii).

Part (i) uses a fractal approximation argument. According to Theorem 1.7, in the definition $\Sigma^2(k) = \sup_{\varphi\in\mathbf{\Sigma}_k} \sigma^2(\log\varphi')$, it suffices to take the supremum over conformal maps $\varphi = w^\mu$ that have "dynamically-invariant" quasiconformal extensions. According to [5, Section 8], in these fractal cases, the function $u(t)$ is subharmonic. In particular, this implies that $\sup_{|t|=k} u(t)$ is a non-decreasing convex function of $k \in [0, 1)$. Therefore, $\Sigma^2(k)/k^2$ is also a non-decreasing convex function, being the supremum of such functions. □

3 Working on the upper half-plane

Since non-linearity is not invariant under $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, it is convenient to work on the upper half-plane. This makes the computations quite a bit simpler. If $b \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ with

$$\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{H}} 2y \cdot |b'(z)| \leq \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

we define its *asymptotic variance* as

$$\sigma^2_{[0,1]}(b) = \limsup_{y\to 0^+} \frac{1}{|\log y|} \int_0^1 |b(x+iy)|^2\, dx. \tag{3.2}$$

In [27, Section 6], McMullen showed that one can compute the asymptotic variance of Bloch functions by examining Césaro averages of integral means that involve higher order derivatives. Here we shall be content with the formula

$$\sigma^2_{[0,1]}(b) = \limsup_{h\to 0^+} \frac{1}{|\log h|} \int_h^1 \int_0^1 \left|\frac{2b'(x+iy)}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}\right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y}. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\mathbf{H}$ denote the class of conformal maps $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ which fix the points $0, 1, \infty$ and $\mathbf{H}_k \subset \mathbf{H}$ be the subclass consisting of conformal maps that admit a k-quasiconformal extension to the lower half-plane $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. For $f \in \mathbf{H}$, the *integral means spectrum* is given by

$$\beta_f(p) = \limsup_{y\to 0^+} \frac{\log \int_0^1 |f'(x+iy)^p|\, dx}{|\log y|}, \qquad p \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For a Beltrami coefficient μ supported on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ with $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$, let $\tilde{w}^\mu \in \mathbf{H}_k$ denote the *normalized* solution of the Beltrami equation $\overline{\partial} w = \mu\, \partial w$. (The notation w^μ is reserved for principal solutions defined for compactly supported coefficients.)

Since the formula for the Beurling transform (1.3) may not converge if μ is not compactly supported, we are obliged to work with a modified Beurling transform

$$\mathcal{S}^{\#}\mu(z) \;=\; -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{H}} \mu(\zeta) \left[\frac{1}{(\zeta - z)^2} - \frac{1}{\zeta^2}\right] |d\zeta|^2, \tag{3.4}$$

however, the formula for the derivative remains the same:

$$\text{“}(\mathcal{S}\mu)'(z)\text{”} \;:=\; (\mathcal{S}^{\#}\mu)'(z) \;=\; -\frac{2}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{H}} \frac{\mu(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^3}\,|d\zeta|^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Not surprisingly, $\mathcal{S}^{\#}\mu$ and $\log f'$ are Bloch functions. In fact,

$$\|\mathcal{S}^{\#}\mu\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})} \le \frac{8}{\pi}\cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty}, \qquad \|\log f'\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})} \le 6k, \tag{3.6}$$

have the same bounds as do Bloch functions on the disk. Furthermore, the universal bounds are also unchanged:

Lemma 3.1.

$$\Sigma^2 = \sup_{|\mu|\le\chi_{\mathbb{H}}} \sigma^2_{[0,1]}(\mathcal{S}^{\#}\mu), \qquad \Sigma^2(k) = \sup_{f\in\mathbf{H}_k} \sigma^2_{[0,1]}(\log f'),$$

$$B_k(p) = \sup_{f\in\mathbf{H}_k} \beta_f(p).$$

3.1 Exponential transform

A convenient way to transfer results from the half-plane to the disk is by exponentiating. Let $\xi(w) = e^{-2\pi i w}$ be the exponential mapping which takes $\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{D}^*$. For a normalized k-quasiconformal mapping f, define its *exponential transform* as

$$\mathcal{E}_f(w) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\cdot\log\circ f\circ\xi(w), \tag{3.7}$$

where the branch of logarithm is chosen so that $\log\mathcal{E}_f(1) = 1$. In terms of Beltrami coefficients, the dilatation $\text{dil.}\,\mathcal{E}_f = \xi^*(\text{dil.}\,f)$ is just the pullback of $\text{dil.}\,f$, considered as a $(-1,1)$ form.

It is not difficult to see that $f \to \mathcal{E}_f(w)$ establishes a bijection between normalized k-quasiconformal mappings with ones satisfying the periodicity condition

$$\mathcal{E}_f(w+1) = \mathcal{E}_f(w) + 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Of interest to us, φ is conformal on $\mathbb{D}^* \iff \mathcal{E}_\varphi$ is conformal on $\mathbb{H}$.

Lemma 3.2. (i) *If* $|\mu| \le \chi_{\mathbb{D}}$, *then for* $w \in \mathbb{H}$,

$$\left| \left| \frac{(\mathcal{S}(\xi^*\mu))'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(w) \right| - \left| \frac{(\mathcal{S}\mu)'}{\rho_*}(\xi(w)) \right| \right| = o(1), \quad \text{as } \operatorname{Im} w \to 0. \tag{3.9}$$

(ii) *If* φ *is a normalized* k*-quasiconformal mapping that is conformal on* $\mathbb{D}^*$, *then*

$$\left| \left| \frac{n_{\mathcal{E}_\varphi}}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(w) \right| - \left| \frac{n_\varphi}{\rho_*}(\xi(w)) \right| \right| = o(1), \quad \text{as } \operatorname{Im} w \to 0. \tag{3.10}$$

The above lemma follows from two observations about the exponential which imply that is does not change the asymptotic features of non-linearity:

I. ξ is approximately a local isometry on $\{w \in \mathbb{H} : \operatorname{Im} w < \delta\}$, when $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{D}^*$ are equipped with their hyperbolic metrics.

II. ξ is approximately linear on small balls $B(x, \delta)$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\xi'(x)} \cdot \frac{\xi(z) - \xi(w)}{z - w} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon, \qquad z, w \in B(x, \delta).$$

Both of these properties are consequences of Koebe's distortion theorem, where one takes into account that the exponential maps the real axis to the unit circle. The reader can consult [18, Section 2] for more details.

From Lemma 3.2, it is clear that

$$\sigma^2(b) = \sigma^2_{[0,1]}(\xi^*\mu) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \beta_\varphi(p) = \beta_{\mathcal{E}_\varphi}(p),$$

The reader versed in the arguments of Sections 4–6 should have no trouble filling in the details in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

3.2 Boxes and grids

By a *box* in the upper half-plane, we mean a rectangle whose sides are parallel to the coordinate axes, with the bottom side located above the real axis. We say two boxes are *similar* if they differ by an affine scaling $L(z) = az + b$ with $a > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$. We use $\overline{B} \subset \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ to denote the reflection of the box B with respect to the real line. Every box B is similar to a unique box of the form $[0, \alpha] \times [1/n, 1]$. In this case, we say that B is of type (n, α).

Boxes naturally arise in grids. By a *grid*, we mean a collection of similar boxes that tile $\mathbb{H}$. One natural collection of grids are the *n-adic grids* $\mathscr{G}_n$, defined for integer $n \geq 2$. An *n-adic interval* is an interval of the form $I_{j,k} = \left[j \cdot n^{-k}, (j+1) \cdot n^{-k}\right]$. To an n-adic interval I, we associate the *n-adic box*

$$\square_I = \left\{w : \operatorname{Re} w \in I,\, \operatorname{Im} w \in \left[n^{-1}|I|,\, |I|\right]\right\}.$$

It is easy to see from the construction that the boxes $\square_{I_{j,k}}$, with $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ have disjoint interiors and their union is $\mathbb{H}$.

Given two boxes B_1 and B_2, we say B_1 *dominates* B_2 if $B_1 = [x_1, x_2] \times [y_1, y_2]$ and $B_2 = [x_1, x_2] \times [\theta y_1, \theta y_2]$ for some $0 < \theta \leq 1$. In other words, B_2 has the same hyperbolic height and is located strictly beneath B_1. We let $\widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$ denote the collection of boxes that are dominated by some box in $\mathscr{G}_n$ with $1/n < \theta \leq 1$. The advantage of the collection $\widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$ is that any horizontal strip $\mathbb{R} \times [y/n, y] \subset \mathbb{H}$ of hyperbolic height $\log n$ can be tiled by boxes in $\widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$. This property will be used in Section 7.

Suppose μ is a Beltrami coefficient supported on the lower half-plane. We say that μ is *periodic* with respect to a grid $\mathscr{G}$ (or rather with respect to $\overline{\mathscr{G}}$) if for any two boxes $B_1, B_2 \in \mathscr{G}$, we have $\mu|_{\overline{B}_1} = L^*(\mu|_{\overline{B}_2})$, where L is the affine map that takes B_1 to B_2. Given μ defined on a box B, and a grid $\mathscr{G}$ containing B, there exists a unique periodic Beltrami coefficient μ_{per} which agrees with μ on B.

4 Locality of $(\mathcal{S}\mu)'/\rho_{\mathbb{H}}$

The technique of *fractal approximation* from [5] hinges on the local nature of the operator $\mu \to (\mathcal{S}\mu)'$. We first show that $(\mathcal{S}\mu)'(z)$ is bounded as a 1-form:

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose μ is a Beltrami coefficient supported on the lower half-plane with $\|\mu\|_\infty \leq 1$. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{H}$, $\left|(2(\mathcal{S}\mu)'/\rho_{\mathbb{H}})(z)\right| \leq 8/\pi$.*

Proof. The proof is by direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{S}\mu)'(z)| &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\overline{\mathbb{H}}} \frac{1}{|w-z|^3}\, |dw|^2, \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x^2+y^2)^{3/2}}\, dxdy, \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{x}{y^2\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy, \\
&= \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^2}, \\
&= \frac{4}{\pi y_0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_0 = \operatorname{Im} z$. Multiplying by 2 and dividing by the density of the hyperbolic metric gives the result. □

If $z \in \mathbb{H}$ is far away from the reflection of the support of μ, one can give a better estimate. For a point $x + iy \in \mathbb{H}$, define its "square" neighbourhood as

$$
Q_L(x+iy) := \big\{ w : \operatorname{Re} w \in [x - e^L y, x + e^L y],\, \operatorname{Im} w \in [e^{-L} y, e^L y] \big\},
$$

and let $Q_L(x - iy)$ be its reflection in the lower half-plane.

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, if $\mu = 0$ on $Q_L(x - iy)$, then*

$$
\left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(x+iy) \right| \le Ce^{-L}. \tag{4.1}
$$

Proof. The lemma follows by estimating the contributions of the top, bottom, left and right parts of $\overline{\mathbb{H}} \setminus Q_L(x - iy)$ separately and adding them up. We leave the details to the reader. □

Lemma 4.2 says that to determine the value of $(\mathcal{S}\mu)'/\rho_{\mathbb{H}}$ at a point $z \in \mathbb{H}$, up to small error, it suffices to know the values of μ in a neighbourhood of $\bar{z}$. In particular, if μ_1 and μ_2 are two Beltrami coefficients that agree on $Q_L(x - iy)$ with L large, then the difference $|((\mathcal{S}\mu_1 - \mathcal{S}\mu_2)'/\rho_{\mathbb{H}})(z)|$ is small.

Remark. It may seem more natural to work with round neighbourhoods of $x - iy$, i.e. to assume that μ vanishes on $\{w \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : d_{\overline{\mathbb{H}}}(w, x - iy) < L\}$. However, in this case, the estimate (4.1) is only $\le CLe^{-L}$.

We now come to the main result of this section.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose μ_1 and μ_2 are two Beltrami coefficients on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ with $\|\mu_i\|_\infty \le 1$, $i = 1, 2$. If $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ agree on an (n, α)-box $\overline{B}$ with $\alpha \ge 1$, then*

$$\left| \fint_B \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu_1)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} - \fint_B \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu_2)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} \right| \le \frac{C_1}{\log n}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $B = [0, \alpha] \times [1/n, 1]$. From the elementary identity $\big||a|^2 - |b|^2\big| = |a - b| \cdot |a + b|$ and Lemma 4.1, it follows that the left hand side of (4.2) is bounded by

$$\frac{32}{\pi} \fint_B \left| \frac{\big(\mathcal{S}(\mu_1 - \mu_2)\big)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right| \frac{|dz|^2}{y}. \tag{4.3}$$

The claim now follows from Lemma 4.2 and integration. □

5 Box Lemma

In this section, we show the infinitesimal version of the box lemma:

Lemma 5.1. (i) *For any Beltrami coefficient μ with $|\mu| \le \chi_{\overline{\mathbb{H}}}$ and (n, α)-box $\square \subset \mathbb{H}$ with $\alpha \ge 1$,*

$$\fint_\square \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} < \Sigma^2 + \frac{C}{\log n}. \tag{5.1}$$

(ii) *Conversely, for $n \ge 1$, there exists a Beltrami coefficient μ, periodic with respect to the n-adic grid, which satisfies*

$$\fint_\square \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} > \Sigma^2 - \frac{C}{\log n} \tag{5.2}$$

on every box $\square \in \widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$.

Proof. (i) Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is a box $\square \subset \mathbb{H}$ and a Beltrami coefficient μ for which

$$\fint_\square \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} > \Sigma^2 + \frac{C}{\log n}, \tag{5.3}$$

with $C > C_1$ from Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathscr{G} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\square}_j$ be a grid containing $\overline{\square}$ and form the Beltrami coefficient μ_{per} by restricting μ to $\overline{\square}$ and periodizing with respect to $\mathscr{G}$. According to Lemma 4.3, we would have

$$⨍_{\square_j} \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu_{\text{per}})'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} > \Sigma^2 + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } \square_j \in \mathscr{G}. \tag{5.4}$$

In view of (3.3), this implies $\sigma^2_{[0,1]}(\mathcal{S}^{\#}\mu_{\text{per}}) > \Sigma^2 + \varepsilon$, which contradicts the definition of Σ^2.

(ii) Conversely, suppose ν is a Beltrami coefficient with

$$|\nu| \le \chi_{\overline{\mathbb{H}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2_{[0,1]}(\mathcal{S}^{\#}\nu) \ge \Sigma^2 - \varepsilon.$$

Consider the n-adic grid $\mathscr{G}_n$. By the pigeon-hole principle, there exists an n-adic box $\square$ for which the integral in (5.1) is at least $\Sigma^2 - \varepsilon$. Restricting ν to $\square$ and periodizing over n-adic boxes produces a Beltrami coefficient ν_{per} which satisfies

$$⨍_{\square_j} \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\nu_{\text{per}})'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} > \Sigma^2 - \frac{C}{\log n}, \quad \text{for all } \square_j \in \mathscr{G}_n. \tag{5.5}$$

A careful inspection reveals that the above estimate holds for all boxes in the collection $\widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1. □

Remark. Given a periodic Beltrami coefficient μ_{per} on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ from (ii), we may multiply it by the characteristic function of the strip $S = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$ to get a Beltrami coefficient $\mu_{\text{per}} \cdot \chi_S$ on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ that is periodic under $z \to z+1$. By construction, $\mu_{\text{per}} \cdot \chi_S$ descends to a Beltrami coefficient on the unit disk via the exponential mapping, which is eventually-invariant under $z \to z^n$. Clearly, the asymptotic variance is unchanged in this process. This proves the statement (1.12) from Theorem 1.7.

6 Locality of $n_f/\rho_{\mathbb{H}}$

Lemma 6.1. (i) *Fix $0 < k < 1$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, if $n \ge n(\varepsilon)$ is sufficiently large, then for any (n, α)-box $\square \subset \mathbb{H}$ with $\alpha \ge 1$ and any conformal map $f \in \mathbf{H}_k$,*

$$⨍_{\square} \left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} < \Sigma^2(k) + \varepsilon. \tag{6.1}$$

(ii) *Conversely, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a conformal map $f = \tilde{w}^{\mu} \in \mathbf{H}_k$, whose dilatation $\mu = \text{dil. } f := \overline{\partial} f / \partial f$ is periodic with respect to the n-adic grid for some $n \geq 1$, and which satisfies*

$$\fint_{\square} \left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} > \Sigma^2(k) - \varepsilon \tag{6.2}$$

on every box $\square \in \widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$.

Since we do not require a quantitative estimate, it suffices to give a simple compactness argument. In view of the arguments from the previous section, it is enough to show:

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose $\tilde{w}^{\mu_1}$ and $\tilde{w}^{\mu_2} \in \mathbf{H}_k$ are two k-quasiconformal mappings that are conformal on the upper half-plane. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists R sufficiently large so that if $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ on $B_{\text{hyp}}(\overline{w}_0, R) = \{w \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, \overline{w}_0) > R\}$, $w_0 \in \mathbb{H}$, then*

$$\left| \frac{n_{\tilde{w}^{\mu_1}} - n_{\tilde{w}^{\mu_2}}}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(w_0) \right| < \varepsilon. \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. Since non-linearity is invariant under compositions with affine maps $z \to az + b$, $a > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, it suffices to prove the lemma with $w_0 = i$.

To the contrary, suppose that one could find sequences of Beltrami coefficients $\{\mu_n\}$ and $\{\nu_n\}$, with $\mu_n = \nu_n$ on $B_n = B_{\text{hyp}}(-i, n)$ and

$$\|\tilde{w}^{\mu_n} - \tilde{w}^{\nu_n}\|_{L^\infty(B)} > 1/n, \quad \text{where } B = \{w \in \mathbb{H} : d_{\mathbb{H}}(w, i) < 1\}. \tag{6.4}$$

Since the collection of normalized quasiconformal mappings with dilatation bounded by k forms a normal family, we can extract a convergent subsequence $\tilde{w}^{\mu_{n_j}} \to \tilde{w}$.

Stoilow factorization allows us to write $\tilde{w}^{\nu_n} = H_n \circ \tilde{w}^{\mu_n}$, where H_n is a normalized quasiconformal map with $\text{supp}(\text{dil. } H_n) \subseteq w^{\mu_n}(\mathbb{H} \setminus B_n)$ and $\| \text{dil. } H_n \|_\infty < \frac{2k}{1+k^2}$. Since the supports of $\text{dil. } H_{n_j}$ shrink to $\tilde{w}(\mathbb{R})$ which has measure 0, the only possible limit of H_{n_j} is the identity. This rules out (6.4), thus proving the lemma. □

Remark. Using the methods of [11, Section 5], one can show that (6.3) decays exponentially in R, i.e. $\lesssim e^{-\gamma R}$ for some $\gamma > 0$.

7 Estimating integral means

In this section, we review the Becker-Pommerenke argument which gives the bound $D(k) \le 1 + 36\,k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^3)$. We then modify the argument to take advantage of the box lemma, allowing us to replace 36 with Σ^2.

7.1 Becker-Pommerenke argument

For convenience, we work in the subclass $\mathbf{H}_k^1 \subset \mathbf{H}_k$ of maps that satisfy the periodicity condition $f(z+1) = f(z) + 1$. In view of the exponential transform (3.7), we are secretly working with a conformal map of the exterior unit disk. Define

$$I_p(y) := \int_0^1 |f'(x+iy)^p|\,dx, \qquad p \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{7.1}$$

Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = i(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}})$, differentiation under the integral sign shows

$$I_p'(y) \;=\; -p \int_0^1 |f'(x+iy)^p|\ \mathrm{Im}\left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right) dx. \tag{7.2}$$

In view of the normalization, $f' \to 1$, $f'' \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$, in which case $I_p'(y) \to 0$. To estimate I_p, we use a variant of Hardy's identity on the upper half-plane which says that for a holomorphic function $g(z)$ with $g(z+1) = g(z)$,

$$\frac{d^2}{dy^2} \int_0^1 |g(x+iy)|\,dx = \int_0^1 \Delta |g(re^{i\theta})|\,dx. \tag{7.3}$$

Indeed, $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \int_0^1 |g(x+iy)|\,dx = 0$ by periodicity. Applying Hardy's identity to $f'(z)^p$ gives

$$I_p''(y) \;=\; |p|^2 \int_0^1 |f'(x+iy)^p| \left|\frac{f''}{f'}\right|^2 dx. \tag{7.4}$$

In particular, $I_p'(y)$ is increasing as $y \to \infty$ which shows that $I_p'(y) \le 0$. Replacing non-linearity by its supremum bound, we obtain

$$I_p''(y) \le \frac{9\,k^2 |p|^2}{y^2}\, I_p(y). \tag{7.5}$$

From the differential inequality (7.5) together with $I_p(y) \geq 0$, $I_p'(y) \leq 0$, it follows that

$$I_p(y) \leq C \cdot y^{-9k^2|p|^2}, \qquad k \in [0,1), \quad p \in \mathbb{C},$$

see Lemma 7.1(i) below. In other words, $\beta_f(p) \leq 9\,k^2|p|^2$. Anti-symmetrization (1.9) and the equation $\beta_f(\mathrm{M.\,dim}\,\partial\Omega) = \mathrm{M.\,dim}\,\partial\Omega - 1$ yield the dimension bound $D(k) \leq 1 + 36\,k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^3)$.

7.2 A differential inequality

To make use of (7.5), we used an elementary fact about differential inequalities. If necessary, the reader may consult [28, Proposition 8.7].

Lemma 7.1. (i) *Suppose $u(y)$ is a C^2 function on $(0, y_0)$ with*

$$u \geq 0 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad u' \leq 0$$

satisfying

$$u''(y) \leq \frac{\alpha u}{y^2}, \tag{7.6}$$

for some constant $\alpha > 0$. Then,

$$u(y) \,\leq\, v(y) \,=\, Cy^{-\beta}, \quad \textit{where } \beta^2 + \beta = \alpha,$$

when $C > 0$ is sufficiently large so that $u(y_0) \leq v(y_0)$ and $|u'(y_0)| \leq |v'(y_0)|$.

(ii) *Conversely, if (7.6) is replaced by*

$$u''(y) \geq \frac{\alpha u}{y^2}, \tag{7.7}$$

then

$$u(y) \,\geq\, v(y) \,=\, cy^{-\beta},$$

when $c > 0$ is sufficiently small so that $u(y_0) \geq v(y_0)$ and $|u'(y_0)| \geq |v'(y_0)|$.

Remark. When $\alpha > 0$ is small, $\beta = \alpha - \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$, in which case $\alpha \approx \beta$.

7.3 Averaging over annuli

Using the box lemma, we can give an improvement in the argument of Becker and Pommerenke. Given an integer $n \geq 1$, let $A(y)$ denote the rectangle $[0,1] \times [y/n, y]$ and $R = \log n$ be its hyperbolic height. Consider the function

$$u(y) := \int_{y/n}^{y} I_p(h) \frac{dh}{h} = \int_1^{1/n} I_p(yh) \cdot \frac{dh}{h}. \tag{7.8}$$

Since $\| \log f' \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})} \leq 6$, we have $u(y) \asymp I_p(y)$, which allows us to compute the integral means spectrum of f by measuring the growth of $u(y)$ as $y \to 0^+$. Differentiating (7.8) twice gives

$$u''(y) = \int_{y/n}^{y} I_p''(h) \frac{dh}{h} = \frac{|p|^2}{4y^2} \int_{A(y)} |f'(z)^p| \left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}} \right|^2 \frac{dh}{h} \cdot dx. \tag{7.9}$$

Lemma 7.2. *Suppose that the average non-linearity of $f \in \mathbf{H}_k^1$ over any box $\square \in \widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$ with $\square \subset [0,1] \times [0, y_0]$ is bounded above by M. Then,*

$$u''(y) \leq M \exp(CRk|p|) \cdot \frac{|p|^2}{4y^2} \cdot u(y), \qquad y \in (0, y_0), \tag{7.10}$$

Proof. We partition $A(y)$ into $\widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$-boxes $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{N(y)}$. (The number of boxes is roughly proportional to the hyperbolic length of the segment $\{x + iy : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$, but we will not use this.) Since f has a k-quasiconformal extension to the plane, $\| \log f' \|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 6k$. As the hyperbolic diameter of a box in $\widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$ is comparable to R, the multiplicative oscillation of $|f'(z)^p|$ in each box B_j is at most

$$\operatorname{osc}_{B_j} |f'(z)^p| := \sup_{z_1, z_2 \in B_j} \log \frac{|f'(z_1)^p|}{|f'(z_2)^p|} \leq C_1 Rk|p|.$$

In other words, if $k|p|$ is small, $|f'(z)^p|$ is essentially constant on boxes, i.e.

$$\int_{B_j} |f'(z)^p| \left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}} \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} \approx |f'(c_j)^p| \int_{B_j} \left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}} \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y}, \tag{7.11}$$

where c_j is an arbitrary point in B_j. Hence,

$$\int_{B_j} |f'(z)^p| \left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}} \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} \leq \exp(C_2 Rk|p|) \cdot M \int_{B_j} |f'(z)^p| \frac{|dz|^2}{y}.$$

Summing over all the boxes that make up $A(y)$ gives (7.10). □

The same argument shows:

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose that the average non-linearity of $f \in \mathbf{H}^1_k$ over any box $\square \in \widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$ with $\square \subset [0,1] \times [0, y_0]$ is bounded below by m. Then,*

$$u''(y) \geq m \, \exp(-CRk|p|) \cdot \frac{|p|^2}{4y^2} \cdot u(y), \qquad y \in (0, y_0). \tag{7.12}$$

7.4 Applications

We now prove Theorem 1.2 which says that for a fixed $k \in (0,1)$,

$$\lim_{p \to 0} \frac{B_k(p)}{|p|^2/4} \, = \, \Sigma^2(k). \tag{7.13}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. According to Lemma 6.1, we may choose $n \geq 1$ sufficiently large so that the average non-linearity of $f \in \mathbf{H}_k$ over any box in $\widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$ is at most $\Sigma^2(k) + \varepsilon/3$. Lemma 7.2 implies the differential inequalities

$$u''(y) \leq \Big(\Sigma^2(k) + 2\varepsilon/3\Big) \cdot \frac{|p|^2}{4y^2} \cdot u(y), \qquad \text{for } p \text{ small.}$$

Applying Lemma 7.1(i) gives

$$u(y) \leq C \cdot y^{-|p|^2(\Sigma^2(k)+\varepsilon)/4}.$$

An analogous bound holds for $I_p(y)$ since $I_p(y) \asymp u(y)$. This proves the upper bound in (7.13). The lower bound is similar, but uses the converse part of Lemma 6.1 and Lemmas 7.3 and 7.1(ii). Note that we can assume that $\mu = \text{dil. } f$ is supported in the strip $S = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < 1\}$ and is invariant under the translation $z \to z + 1$ to ensure that $f = \tilde{w}^\mu \in \mathbf{H}^1_k$ (see the remark following the proof of Lemma 5.1). $\square$

For small k, we can give a more precise estimate. Combining Lemma 5.1 with (2.5) shows that the average non-linearity over a box in $\widehat{\mathscr{G}_n}$ is bounded by

$$⨍_{B_j} \left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}} \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} \leq (\Sigma^2 + C/R)k^2 + Ck^3. \tag{7.14}$$

Putting this into Lemma 7.2 gives

$$u''(y) \le \exp(CRk|p|) \cdot \left[(\Sigma^2 + C/R)k^2 + Ck^3\right] \cdot \frac{|p|^2}{4y^2} \cdot u(y). \tag{7.15}$$

Choosing $R \asymp 1/\sqrt{k|p|}$, we get the error term of $\mathcal{O}\big((k|p|)^{5/2}\big)$ in (7.15). We conclude that for any conformal map $f \in \mathbf{H}^1_k$,

$$u(y) \le C \cdot y^{-k^2|p|^2/4\left(\Sigma^2 + C\sqrt{k|p|}\right)}.$$

Repeating the argument for the special conformal maps provided by Lemma 6.1(ii), we obtain the estimate in the other direction. As mentioned in the introduction, this entails $D(k) = 1 + \Sigma^2 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^{5/2})$.

8 The $\mathcal{O}(k^{8/3-\varepsilon})$ error term

In this section, we show that the maximal Hausdorff dimension of a k-quasicircle satisfies

$$D(k) = 1 + \Sigma^2 k^2 + \mathcal{O}(k^{8/3-\varepsilon}), \qquad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0. \tag{8.1}$$

We focus on the upper bound in (8.1) and leave the lower bound to the reader. Suppose $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a conformal mapping of the upper half-plane which admits a k-quasiconformal extension with $0 < k < 1/2$. Let

$$B = [0,1] \times \left[1/e^R, 1\right], \qquad R = k^{-\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0,$$

be a box in $\mathbb{H}$ and z_B be the midpoint of its top edge. Our objective is to slightly improve the argument of Lemma 7.2 by showing that:

Lemma 8.1. *Suppose $p \in [1,2)$. For any $\gamma \in (0, 2/3)$,*

$$\mathcal{Q}(B) := \frac{\int_B |f'(z)^p| \left|\frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}\right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y}}{\int_B |f'(z)^p| \frac{|dz|^2}{y}} \le \Sigma^2 k^2 + \mathcal{O}_\gamma(k^{2+\gamma}). \tag{8.2}$$

From the scale-invariance of the problem, the same estimate must also hold on any box similar to B. The arguments of the previous section now give the upper

bound in (8.1). Here, we remind the reader that in view of (1.8), the range of exponents $p \in [1,2)$ is sufficient for applications to Minkowski dimension.

In the proof of Lemma 7.2, we made the assumption that Rkp was small in order to guarantee that $|f'(z)^p|$ was approximately constant in B. To be able to take $R = k^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 1/2$, we introduce the *exceptional set*

$$\mathscr{E} := \big\{ z \in B : |\log f'(z) - \log f'(z_B)| > k^\gamma \big\}. \tag{8.3}$$

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose $p \in [1,2)$ and $\gamma \in (0, 2/3)$. Then,*

$$\int_{\mathscr{E}} \frac{|dz|^2}{y} < C \cdot k^\gamma \cdot \int_B \frac{|dz|^2}{y} \tag{8.4}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathscr{E}} |f'(z)^p| \, \frac{|dz|^2}{y} < C \cdot k^\gamma \cdot |f'(z_B)^p| \int_B \frac{|dz|^2}{y}. \tag{8.5}$$

With the above lemma, the proof of Lemma 8.1 runs as follows:

Proof of Lemma 8.1 assuming Lemma 8.2. Since

$$\left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}} \right|^2 < 36k^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{osc}_B |f'(z)^p| < 2,$$

the bound (8.5) gives

$$\mathcal{Q}(B) \;=\; \frac{\int_B |f'(z)^p| \left|\frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}\right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y}}{\int_B |f'(z)^p| \, \frac{|dz|^2}{y}} \;=\; \frac{\int_{B\setminus\mathscr{E}} |f'(z)^p| \left|\frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}\right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y}}{\int_{B\setminus\mathscr{E}} |f'(z)^p| \, \frac{|dz|^2}{y}} + \mathcal{O}(k^{2+\gamma}).$$

From the definition of the exceptional set (8.3),

$$1 - Ck^\gamma \;\le\; \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f'(z_B)} \right|^p \;\le\; 1 + Ck^\gamma, \qquad z \in B \setminus \mathscr{E},$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{Q}(B) = \fint_{B\setminus\mathscr{E}} \left| \frac{2n_f}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}} \right|^2 \frac{|dz|^2}{y} + \mathcal{O}(k^{2+\gamma}).$$

According to (7.14), the average non-linearity over B is bounded by $(\Sigma^2 + Ck^\gamma)k^2$. Combining with (8.4) shows that the average non-linearity over $B \setminus \mathscr{E}$ is also at most $(\Sigma^2 + Ck^\gamma)k^2$. This completes the proof. □

Let $L_S = \{z \in B : \operatorname{Im} z = 1/e^S\}$ be the line segment consisting of points in B for which the hyperbolic distance to the top edge is S and define $\mathscr{E}_S := \mathscr{E} \cap L_S$. Set $g(z) = \log f'(z) - \log f'(z_B)$. Since $\| \log f' \|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})} \leq 6k$,

$$\left| \left\{ z \in L_S : |\operatorname{Re} g(z)| > \eta \right\} \right| \leq \exp\left(-c_0 \cdot \frac{\eta^2}{k^2 S} \right), \tag{8.6}$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is a universal constant. This follows from the sub-Gaussian estimate for martingales with bounded increments [24, Equation (2.9)]. Alternatively, for an analytic proof of (8.6), the reader may consult [14].

Proof of Lemma 8.2. To show (8.4), it suffices to demonstrate that $|\mathscr{E}_S| < C \cdot k^\gamma$ for any $0 \leq S \leq R$. Putting $\eta = k^\gamma$ and $S \leq R = k^{-\gamma}$ in (8.6) gives

$$|\mathscr{E}_S| \leq \exp(-c_0 \cdot k^{3\gamma - 2}). \tag{8.7}$$

In order to get any kind of decay, we must have $3\gamma - 2 < 0$. Of course, any $\gamma < 2/3$ gives an *exponential* decay rate, which is more than sufficient. The second statement (8.5) follows from (8.4) and the fact that $\operatorname{osc}_B |f'(z)^p| < 2$. □

9 Sparse Beltrami Coefficients

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.8 which gives a stronger bound for the dimension of quasicircle $\tilde{w}^\mu([0,1])$ if the dilatation μ has small support. We assume that μ is a Beltrami coefficient on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ for which $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subseteq \mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j$ is a union of "crescents" that satisfy the quasigeodesic and separation properties. That is, we assume each crescent lies within a hyperbolic distance S from a geodesic arc $\gamma_j \subset \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and that the hyperbolic distance between any two crescents is bounded below by R.

We are interested in studying the quadratic behaviour of $t \to \mathrm{M.dim}\, \tilde{w}^{t\mu}([0,1])$ when S is fixed and R is large. In the dynamical setting, this was considered in [18], where it was sufficient to measure the length of the intersection of $\mathcal{G}$ with horizontal lines. The non-dynamical case was examined by Bishop [10], but without the quadratic behaviour. The proof of Theorem 1.8 follows from the Becker-Pommerenke argument and the estimate:

Theorem 9.1. *For any Beltrami coefficient μ with $\|\mu\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\mu \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, we have*

$$⨍_L \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 dx \leq Ce^{-R/2}, \tag{9.1}$$

where L is a horizontal line segment which has hyperbolic diameter $R/2$.

The crucial feature of hyperbolic geometry that we use is that *a horocycle connecting two points is exponentially longer than the geodesic.* Thus, L is extremely long: its length (as measured in the hyperbolic metric) is comparable to $e^{R/2}$.

For convenience, let us denote the horizontal lines in $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\ell_y = \{z : \operatorname{Im} z = y\}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \bar{\ell}_y = \{z : \operatorname{Im} z = -y\}.$$

We need an elementary lemma, which is an exercise in Fubini's theorem:

Lemma 9.1. *Suppose μ is a Beltrami coefficient on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, with $\|\mu\|_\infty \leq 1$, such that the length of the intersection of any horizontal line $\bar{\ell}_y$ with $\operatorname{supp}\mu$ is bounded by M. Then, for any $y > 0$,*

$$\int_{\ell_y} \left| \frac{(\mathcal{S}\mu)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right| dx \leq \frac{8}{\pi} \cdot M.$$

Proof of Theorem 9.1. From the scale-invariance of the problem, we may assume that $L = \big[i, i + |L|\big]$, where $|L| \asymp e^{R/2}$. We divide the crescents $\{A_j\}_{j\in J}$ into two groups. Group 1 consists of crescents that lie wholly above $\overline{L}$, that is,

$$A_j \subset \big[0, |L|\big] \times [-1, 0].$$

The remaining crescents form Group 2. By assumption, there can be at most one special crescent that crosses $\overline{L}$. We denote it by $A_\times$ if it exists. It necessarily belongs to the second group.

We write $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$ where $\operatorname{supp}\mu_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_1 = \bigcup_{j\in J_1} A_j$ and $\operatorname{supp}\mu_2 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_2 = \bigcup_{j\in J_2} A_j$. Expanding the square and using Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\int_L \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 dx \leq \int_L \left| \frac{2(\mathcal{S}\mu_2)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right|^2 dx + \frac{96}{\pi} \int_L \left| \frac{(\mathcal{S}\mu_1)'}{\rho_{\mathbb{H}}}(z) \right| dx. \tag{9.2}$$

To complete the proof, we need to show that both summands in (9.2) are $\mathcal{O}(1)$. For the first summand, it suffices to note that $\operatorname{supp}\mu_2$ is contained in the union of the

half-planes $\{w : \operatorname{Re} z < c_1\}$, $\{w : \operatorname{Re} z > e^{-R/2} - c_1\}$ and the special crescent $A_\times$ if it exists. With this information, the estimate is settled by Lemma 4.2. The reader may find it helpful to note that $A_\times$ is contained in a hyperbolic $\mathcal{O}(1)$ neighbourhood of two vertical lines. For the second summand, we appeal to Lemma 9.1, where we use the bound $|\mathcal{G}_1 \cap \bar{\ell}_y| = \mathcal{O}(1)$. As observed in [18, Section 6], the hyperbolic length of the intersection $A_j \cap \ell_y$ is $\mathcal{O}(1)$, but as soon as soon as $\bar{\ell}_y$ intersects a crescent A_j, a segment of hyperbolic length $\mathcal{O}(e^{R/2})$ must be disjoint from the other crescents. $\square$

References

[1] K. Astala, F. Gehring, *Injectivity, the BMO norm and the universal Teichmüller space*, J. d'Analyse Math. 46 (1986), no. 1, 16–57.

[2] K. Astala, T. Iwaniec, G. J. Martin, *Elliptic partial differential equations and quasiconformal mappings in the plane*, Princeton University Press, 2009.

[3] K. Astala, *Calderón's problem for Lipschitz classes and the dimension of quasicircles*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 4 (1988), no. 3-4, 469–486.

[4] K. Astala, *Area distortion of quasiconformal mappings*, Acta Math. 173 (1994), no. 1, 37–60.

[5] K. Astala, O. Ivrii, A. Perälä, I. Prause, *Asymptotic variance of the Beurling transform*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 25 (2015), no. 6, 1647–1687.

[6] R. Bañuelos, C. N. Moore, *Mean growth of Bloch functions and Makarov's law of the iterated logarithm*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991), 851–854.

[7] J. Becker, C. Pommerenke, *On the Hausdorff dimension of quasicircles*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 12 (1987), 329–333.

[8] D. Beliaev, S. Smirnov, *Random conformal snowflakes*, Ann. of Math. 172 (2010), no. 1, 597–615.

[9] I. Binder, *Harmonic measure and rotation spectra of planar domains*, preprint, 2008.

[10] C. J. Bishop, *Big deformations near infinity*, Illinois J. Math. 47 (2003), no. 4, 977–996.

[11] C. J. Bishop, P. W. Jones, *Compact Deformations of Fuchsian Groups*, J. d'Analyse Math. 87 (2002), no. 1, 5–36.

[12] L. Carleson, P. W. Jones, *On coefficient problems for univalent functions and conformal dimension*, Duke Math. J., 66 (1992), no. 2, 169–206.

[13] H. Hedenmalm, *Bloch functions, asymptotic variance, and zero packing*, preprint, arXiv:1602.03358, 2016.

[14] H. Hedenmalm, *Bloch functions and asymptotic tail variance*, preprint, arXiv:1509.06630, 2015.

[15] H. Hedenmalm, I. R. Kayumov, *On the Makarov law of the iterated logarithm*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), 2235–2248.

[16] H. Hedenmalm, S. Shimorin, *On the universal integral means spectrum of conformal mappings near the origin*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007), no. 7, 2249–2255.

[17] H. Hedenmalm, A. Sola, *Spectral notions for conformal maps: a survey*, Comput. Meth. Funct. Th. 8 (2008), no. 2, 447–474.

[18] O. Ivrii, *The geometry of the Weil-Petersson metric in complex dynamics*, preprint, arXiv:1503.02590, 2015.

[19] O. Ivrii, *On Makarov's principle in conformal mapping*, preprint, 2016.

[20] P. W. Jones, *On scaling properties of harmonic measure*, Perspectives in analysis, Mathematical Physics Studies 27 (Springer, Dordrecht, 2005) 73–81.

[21] I. R. Kayumov, *The law of the iterated logarithm for locally univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 27 (2002), no. 2, 357–364.

[22] R. Kühnau, *Möglichst konforme Spiegelung an einer Jordankurve*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 90 (1988), no. 2, 90–109.

[23] T. H. N. Le, M. Zinsmeister, *On Minkowski dimension of Jordan curves*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 39 (2014), no. 2, 787–810.

[24] N. G. Makarov, *Probability methods in the theory of conformal mappings*, (Russian) Algebra i Analiz 1 (1989), no. 1, 3–59; translation in Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), no. 1, 1–56.

[25] N. G. Makarov, *Fine structure of harmonic measure*, St. Petersburg Math. J. 10 (1999), no. 2, 217–268.

[26] C. T. McMullen, *Cusps are dense*, Annals of Math. 133 (1991), 217–247.

[27] C. T. McMullen, *Thermodynamics, dimension and the Weil-Petersson metric*, Invent. Math. 173 (2008), no. 2, 365–425.

[28] C. Pommerenke, *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 299, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[29] I. Prause, S. Smirnov, *Quasisymmetric distortion spectrum*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 43 (2011), 267–277.

[30] F. Przytycki, M. Urbański, A. Zdunik, *Harmonic, Gibbs and Hausdorff measures on repellers for holomorphic maps II*, Studia Math. 97 (1991), 189–225.

[31] S. Smirnov, *Dimension of quasicircles*, Acta Math. 205 (2010), no. 1, 189–197.

[32] K. Zhu. *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 226, Springer, 2005.